

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/042,614 | LIU, YA FANG | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| SUSAN HANLEY | 1651 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: after final

(1) SUSAN HANLEY.

(3) ____.

(2) Basil Krikelis.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2008

Time: 1:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

33, 34 and 44-47

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Krikelis agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance Mr. Krikelis stated that he would file a terminal disclaimer over US Patent No. 7,264,942.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)